PROMOTING ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND CONSERVATION USING BEHAVIORAL PRINCIPLES AND COMMUNITY-BASED SOCIAL MARKETING

Marsha Lia Walton, PhD | Sr Project Manager Buildings Research | NYSERDA | Albany NY

Jennifer Tabanico | President | Action Research | Oceanside CA

Climate, Buildings and Behavior GARRISON INSTITUTE | May 25, 2012



NYSERDA

 Public Benefit Corporation established by NYS Legislature in 1975

Mission Statement

Advance innovative energy solutions in ways that improve New York's economy and environment 2012-2013 Budget -\$572,169,000

> Federal Grants * RGGI * State Appropriations * Energy Efficiency Portfolio Standard * Renewable Portfolio Standard

2008 Budget - \$340M 1998 Budget - \$80M



New York State Goals

From 1990 levels,

- □ Increase energy efficiency 15% by 2015
- Meet 45% of State electric needs with renewable resources by 2015
- □ Reduce CO2 80% by 2050



NYSERDA's Behavior Program

- Clean Energy Programs
 - Market potential
 - Economic potential
 - $\square \Delta = \text{Economic potential} \text{Market potential}$



Decision-making, belief and behavior biases (Wikipedia, list of cognitive biases)

- Ambiguity effect
- Anchoring
- Availability heuristic
- Backfire effect
- Bandwagon effect
- Belief bias
- Bias blind spot
- Confirmation bias
- Conjunction fallacy
- Conservation or regressive bias
- Contrast effect
- Curse of knowledge
- Decoy effect
- Denomination effect
- Distinction bias

- Empathy gap
- Endowment effect
- Expectation bias
- □ Focusing effect
- Framing effect
- Gambler's fallacy
- Hindsight bias
- Hyperbolic discounting
- Illusion of validity
- Illusory correlation
- Impact bias
- Information bias
- Knowledge bias
- Loss aversion
- Mere exposure effect



Decision-making, belief and behavior biases (cont.)

- Money illusion
- Moral licensing effect (moral credential effect)
- Negativity bias
- Neglect of probability
- Normalcy bias
- Observer-expectancy effect
- Omission bias
- Optimism bias
- Ostrich effect
- Outcome effect
- Overconfidence effect
- Pessimism bias
- Planning fallacy
- Post-purchase rationalization
- Pro-innovation bias

- Pseudocertainty effect
- Reactance
- Recency bias
- Recency illusion
- Restraint bias
- Rhyme as reason effect
- □ Selective perception
- Semmelweis reflex
- Social comparison bias
- Social desirability bias
- Status quo bias
- Stereotyping
- Subjective validation
- Unit bias
- Zero-risk bias



Social biases (Wikipedia, list of cognitive biases)

- Actor-observer bias
- Defensive attribution hypothesis
- Dunning-Kruger effect
- Egocentric bias
- Extrinsic incentives bias
- False consensus effect
- Fundamental attribution error
- Halo effect
- Illusion of asymmetric insight
- Illusory superiority (Lake Wobegon effect)
- Ingroup bias
- Just-world phenomenon
- Moral luck
- Outgroup homogeneity bias
- Projection bias
- Self-serving bias

- □ System justification
- Trait ascription bias
- Ultimate attribution error
- Worse-than-average effect



NYSERDA's Behavior Research Program

- Apply psychosocial insights into human behavior to energy-related decision making using controlled experiments
- Provide independent evaluations & disseminate the results
- New York clean energy programs



"Linking Behavioral Research to Energy Decision Making" RFP 1192 October 2009 \$400,000

Services of a behavioral expert to design pilot experiments to identify potentially more effective approaches to achieve New York's clean energy targets



Action Research, Inc.

- □ Full-service consulting firm
- Oceanside, CA
- □ Established P. Wesley Schultz in 2001

Jennifer Tabanico, Cofounder/President

- Leverages the academic community to apply research to real world problems
- Uses Community Based Social Marketing (CBSM) approach



Action Research Scientific Advisors

- Dr. P. Wesley Schultz, California State University
- Dr. Noah Goldstein, UCLA Anderson School of Management
- Dr. Jennifer Nolan, University of Scranton PA
- Dr. Renee Bator, State University of New York Plattsburgh
- Dr. Janet Swim, Pennsylvania State University



Community Based Social Marketing Approach (McKenzie-Mohr)

- Roots in social science
- Research-driven process
- Focus on specific target behaviors
 - Select action with greatest combination of:
 - Impact: Impact potential
 - Probability: Likelihood of success
 - Penetration: Room to move
- Programs go beyond knowledge and awareness
 - Remove barriers and highlight benefits
 - Incorporate behavior change tools



The CBSM Process

Select Behavior	 Identify specific actions (nondivisible) Quantify energy savings for each behavior Link to outcome and goals
Identify Barriers	 External barriers (e.g., infrastructure) Internal barriers (e.g., motivation, convenience, etc.) Conduct Surveys/Market Research as needed
Behavior Tools	 Social Norms Commitment/Pledges Competitions, Feedback, Incentives
Pilot Test	 Random assignment Control or comparison group Can test multiple approaches at once
Implement Broadly	 Scale up effective pilots Identify ideal combination of approaches Cost savings & environmental benefits



Responsibilities

NYSERDA Behavior Research Program funds Action Research services

 Client referrals responsible for implementing recommendations & providing data needed for evaluation



NYSERDA's Behavior Referrals

- VPSI Capital District vanpool program
- Ithaca College/SUNY Plattsburgh computer labs
- Bard College dormitory dryer rack study
- NYSERDA New Construction Program (NCP)
- NYSERDA Paper Reduction Campaign
- ENERGY CHALLENGE TEAM Central New York Regional Planning & Development Board – (EPA Climate Change Innovation Program (C2IP)
- SUNY Albany fume hoods



Case Studies

- VPSI Capital District vanpool program
- Ithaca College/SUNY Plattsburgh computer labs
- Bard College dormitory dryer rack study
- NYSERDA's New Construction Program (NCP)
- NYSERDA Paper Reduction Campaign
- ENERGY CHALLENGE TEAM Central New York Regional Planning & Development Board – (EPA Climate Change Innovation Program (C2IP)
- SUNY Albany fume hoods



1. VPSI Vanpool

Base case: only 2 out of 20 vanpools deployed

- Peripheral vs. central route (Petty and Cacioppo, 1981)
- System 1 vs. System 2 (Kahneman)





Power of the personal (messenger, brochures & VPSI's website)

Recommendations: Social Norms

Use photos that show people like YOU vanpool
 Use personal communication & testimonials





Capital District Large Employer survey

- <u>Recommendations</u>: VPSI redefine relationship with employers as a consultancy/conversation vs.
- □ An outside company trying to "pitch" the program
- Highlight organizational benefit of being a "green" business
- Results:
- □ 18 vans filled
 - 1,908,938 vehicle miles avoided (80 passenger cars/yr)
- 8/2009-10/2011



2. Ithaca College Computer Lab

Goal:

to get students to turn off machines in computer labs when not being used

- Theoretical Framework
 - descriptive & injunctive norms
 - Oceja & Berenguer 2009; Aronson & O'Leary 1983)





Computer lab 1 pilot descriptive norm & injunctive norm



N=308

Computers off,	Computers on,
sign posted	sign posted
N=100	N=88
Computers off,	Computers on,
no sign	no sign (control)
N=60	N=60



Computer lab 1 pilot descriptive norm & injunctive norm



Please turn computer & monitor off before you leave

Computers off,	Computers on,
sign posted	sign posted
48%	11%
Computers off,	Computers on,
no sign	no sign (control)
15%	3%



Computer lab 2 pilot descriptive norm & injunctive norm



N=772

Computers off, sign posted 30% (N=330)

Computers on, no sign 3% (N=442)



Savings

Ithaca College

- Computer labs alone
 - 34,552 kWh/yr (\$3,196)
 - CO2 emissions
 - 54 barrels of oil
 - 5 passenger vehicles
- Campus wide
 - 227,136 kWh/yr (\$21,000)
 - 17,559 barrels of oil
 - 31 passenger vehicles

NYU

- Savings potential
 - □ 1,471,579 kWh/yr
 - **(**\$294,316)
- CO2 emissions
 - 2,360 barrels of oil
 - 200 passenger vehicles



3. Reduce Residential Energy Use; Syracuse, NY

- Central New York Regional Planning & Development Board
 - Received funding under the EPA Climate Change Innovation Program (C2IP)
 - Implementation contractor for NYSERDA's Energy \$mart Communities Program
- <u>Goal</u>: To achieve 30% CO2 reductions in Central New York Communities, including Syracuse



Pilot Community: Syracuse, NY

- Recommendation: use an energy team concept to influence energy-efficiency behaviors in Syracuse neighborhoods
- $\hfill\square$ & websites to maintain public interest and expand the pilot
 - N=5 teams (5-8 households) 1st wave 3/12-6/12
 - N=5 teams (5-8 households) 2nd wave 6/12-9/12
- Treatment: Work through a simple 5-lesson curriculum & meet biweekly as a group over 7 weeks



Energy Challenge Team

- Facilitator Guide and Participant Workbook
 - Materials & training for Team Leaders provided by Action Research
 - Curriculum Handbook also developed by Action Research
 - Built in research materials
 - Participant entry/exit surveys
 - Utility waiver
 - Feedback forms





Energy Challenge Team

- Future pilots are being added in Central New York
 Town of DeWitt and Madison County
- □ Is the model scalable?
- □ Can we rely on social diffusion for 2nd-tier & 3rd-tier effects?
- CNY Planning & Development Board *







Challenge Initiatives



The Central New York Energy Challenge is a project of the Central New York Regional Planning and Development Board Energy Management program. The Challenge aims to reduce energy usage and greenhouse gas emissions throughout the region through the adoption of conservation, efficiency, and renewable energy technologies. The Challenge offers a variety of action-based initiatives for community-level adoption to advance a self-sustaining effort throughout the Central New York region. To learn more about our current initiatives, please follow the links below:

- + TAKE THE PLEDGE
- + HOST AN EVENT
- + ENERGY CHALLENGE TEAMS
- + YOUR STORIES





IMPROVE: By following the guidebook you will discover ways to upgrade your home, lower your energy costs, and make your home more comfortable.

What do Energy Challenge Team Facilitators do?

NYSERDA's Behavior Research program, future...

- Technical behavior consulting services are available, so referrals always welcome!
- RFP \$1,200,000 seeking proposals for clean energy behavior pilots in New York State
- Proposals with
 - Behavior expertise
 - Interested client
 - Ability to implement the treatment &
 - Provide data for evaluation



Future projects we would like to do...





Guest Conservation Behavior

Guest Conservation Opportunities

- Towel re-use
- Linen re-use
- Turning off lights and appliances
- AC/Heating settings
- Water conservation (shower use)
- Towel and linen reuse programs already in place in many hotels using environmental messaging







Commercial Office Feedback Technology & Office Worker Behavior Change







Building Managers

- Training (if necessary) to remove barriers to building commissioning
- Framing building commissioning as the norm rather than the exception
- Provide incentives
 - □\$
 - Social recognition
- Bring together building operators via social networking sites
 showcase members' successes, lessons learned & new opportunities



Residential Programs

- Frame potential energy-efficiency upgrades in three options tied to payback
- □ 1. Efficiency of investment achieved in 1 to 3 yrs
- □ 2. Efficiency of investment achieved in 4 to 6 yrs
- □ 3. Efficiency of investment achieved in 7-10 yrs
- With/ Interest free money (1yr)
 Without Upfront cash/gift bonus





□ <u>mlw@nyserda.org</u>

Ideas?

Potential sites?

Potential partners?

