

Climate, Cities, and Behavior **Garrison Institute** March 12, 2013



and Resiliency: Lessons from Coastal New Jersey

Stakeholder Attitudes on Sustainability

Department of Geography



RUTGERS OF NEW JERSEY THE STATE UNIVERSITY

Research Objectives

- Assess critical economic vulnerabilities in the Barnegat Bay region of New Jersey
- Identify adaptation options
- in the region Identify key options and barriers to enhancing resilience
- resilience in coastal New Jersey Provide baseline for further research on economic

Background

change for sectors and regions: We know relatively little about the economic impacts of climate

- services events, changes in baseline weather conditions, changes in ecosystem Difficulties with defining climate change – more and worse extreme
- I onto current settlement patterns; difficulty predicting what society will Difficulties with the issue of time – future changes are often projected time periods look like in the future; summing up probabilities of impacts over many
- I Difficulties with existing methods that we use for impact assessment – premised on a stable environmental baseline (e.g. input output analysis)

Background

What we do know comes from:

- Global and national level sectoral assessments (especially agriculture, torestry, coastal zones) (what are the impacts?)
- Studies of assets at risk to particular stresses (e.g. value property exposed to sea level rise) (what is at risk?)
- Studies of the economic impacts of specific, past events (e.g. costs?) damage and disruption from extreme storm) (*what are the*

Studies of social-economic vulnerability (who is at risk?)

Contribution of this study

- and resilience Paying attention to the economic dimensions of vulnerability
- Emphasis on assets, activities, and vulnerable populations
- Stakeholder-based assessment of economic risks and vulnerabilities
- Recognizes interactions between climate change risks and other stresses

Stakeholder-based approach

- Primary data from stakeholder interviews
- Structured, open ended interviews (one to two hours each)
- 29 stakeholders (individual and group interviews)
- Sampling based on expert judgment and snowball method
- Aimed for state, county, local reps from public and private sectors, plus reps from major industries (e.g., tourism, fisheries, real estate)
- Secondary data from:
- U.S. Economic Census
- U.S. Population Census
- Industry reports (e.g. Marine Trades Association)
- Office of N.J. State Climatologist

Interview question topics

- Key present and future climate risks
- Economic assets and activities at risk
- Populations at risk
- Implications for emergency management
- Options for adaptation of assets and activities
- Options and barriers to enhance resilience of region

A Pre-Sandy Picture of Economic Vulnerability

Our research team was completing the final copy edit of this were hit by Superstorm Sandy . Jersey shore and New York-New Jersey metropolitan area report when the Barnegat Bay region and the entire New

Interview Findings: Climate Stresses

Extreme Weather – General Increase in Extreme Weather

- Flooding, both riverine and coastal
- More hurricanes expected
- More snowstorms expected
- Droughts and heat waves expected
- Forest fires expected

Interview Findings: Climate Stresses

Gradual Changes – observed and expected

- Sea level rise
- Marsh die-back due to salt water intrusion
- Beach erosion
- Ocean acidification
- Decrease in ocean salinity
- Temperature increases
- Out-migration of fish
- Increase in pests and invasive species

Interview Findings: Non-climatic stresses

Demographic/Social Stresses

- Population increase & high proportion of senior citizens
- Decline in environmental/climate awareness

Economic Stresses

- Recession, Budget cuts
- Dependency on development and construction
- Lack of public transit
- Increased global and local demand for seafood

Environmental Stresses

- Development
- Pollution of Barnegat Bay
- Marsh die-off and alteration of sedimentation activity



Interview Findings: Assets at Risk

- Natural Assets at Risk
- Beaches
- Fresh water
- Marshes
- Forests
- Marine life

Interview Findings: Assets at Risk

Built Assets at Risk

- Roads, bridges and mass transit
- Waterfront property
- Recreational infrastructure e.g. boardwalks
- Tax-base of municipalities
- Municipal facilities

Interview Findings: Activities at Risk

- Tourism
- Commercial fishing
- Recreational fishing
- Wildlife watching and park visitation
- Construction and real estate
- Insurance
- Agricultural production

(as a result of damage to assets or activities) Interview Findings: People and Groups at Risk

- Small business owners and their employees
- Commercial fishermen
- Farmers
- Low-income residents
- Low-income property owners
- Users of public amenities and parks
- Municipalities

(lessons of Irene) Interview Findings: Emergency management

- The value of preparedness
- The importance of lead time
- Cooperation of the public
- Need for vigilance in the future re: evacuations
- were so closely spaced Difficulty of attribution of damage because Irene and Lee

Interview Findings: Adaptation of Assets

- Beach replenishment/nourishment
- Shore armoring
- Retrofitting existing infrastructure and building new houses to code and raising the standards
- New infrastructure, such as bridges, roads, floodgates and desalinization plants
- Restoration of marshes, dunes, and living shorelines
- Relocation/retreat/rolling easements
- Land use planning and control
- Insurance
- Research

Interview Findings: Adaptation of Activities

- fisheries) Diversification across the economy (out of beach tourism and
- activities, and agro-tourism Alternative tourism such as environmental tourism, non-beach
- Diversifying into multiple fisheries and aquaculture
- Infrastructural adaptations that minimize activity disruptions e.g. more indoor venues and air conditioning.
- Protecting ecosystem services

Interview Findings: Adaptation of Emergency

management

- More evacuation routes
- Better coordination and communication
- Addressing special needs evacuation and shelter
- Training of reserve personnel
- More specialized equipment e.g. backup power

Resilience Interview Findings: Barriers to Enhancing

- Physical and technological barriers
- Slow progress of SLR
- Density of settlement
- Limitations of engineering solutions e.g. sea walls, bulk heads, beach nourishment

Political and cultural barriers

- Short term thinking
- Denial of climate change
- Lack of regional planning
- Lack of government funding
- Unequal sharing of burdens and benefits
- Decreasing exposure of people to nature

Findings: Barriers to Enhancing Resilience

Policy and regulatory barriers

- Inflexible and inappropriate regulations
- Expenses and delays in permitting
- Too lax or laxly enforced regulations in some areas (e.g. building codes)
- Perverse incentives and misguided policies (e.g. CAFRA as amended)
- Assertion of private property rights to the detriment of the community
- Difficulty in qualifying for federal disaster declaration

Findings: Options for Enhancing Resilience

Policy reform

- Change how development is regulated
- Better enforcement of existing regulations and exercise of authority to regulate
- Better planning, communication, regional coordination
- Better research and information
- events Take advantage of openings offered by catastrophic
- Education of the public about risks of climate change
- change Role for civil society –public outreach, foster behavioral

Lessons from Coastal New Jersey

- Stakeholders know a lot
- •
- options, ways to enhance resilience, and barriers to resilience sector and region to identify vulnerabilities, adaptation There is need to make use of stakeholder knowledge of the
- But stakeholders don't know everything to suggest strategies that are familiar There is tendency
- stakeholders is critical for the success of these efforts Developing an on-going rapport and relationship with

Next Steps:

(NJ Sea Grant support)

- Return to stakeholders for follow-up interviews:
- What did Sandy reveal about vulnerabilities of assets, options to enhance resilience? activities, populations; what did Sandy reveal about
- What did we miss?
- Web-based survey of stakeholders in coastal NJ Who to focus on?
- Impacts and responses to Sandy?

Project Team Members

Barnegat Bay Team

Beata Matusewicz Bezborodko, Erik Namendorf, Michael Brady, Theresa Kirby, Robin Leichenko, Melanie McDermott, Ekaterina

Sea Grant Team

All of the above, Rick Lathrop, Lisa Auermuller

More info: Robin.Leichenko@rutgers.edu